

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.			
10/523,530 06/24/2005		Koen A. J. de Graaff	082671-0199	1436			
22428	7590	05/08/2006		EXAMINER			
		DNER LLP	BARNES, CRYSTAL J				
SUITE 500 3000 K STI			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
WASHING	TON, DO	20007	2121				
				DATE MAIL ED: 05/09/2006			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		1	Application No.		Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary			10/523,530		DE GRAAFF, KOEN A. J.					
			Examiner		Art Unit					
		(Crystal J. Barnes		2121					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication appea	ars on the cover s	heet with the c	orrespondence ad	Idress				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comr o period for reply is specified above, the maximum st re to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DAT s of 37 CFR 1.136(nunication. atutory period will will, by statute, ca	TE OF THIS CON (a). In no event, howeve apply and will expire SIX ause the application to be	IMUNICATION r, may a reply be tim ((6) MONTHS from the come ABANDONED	l. ely filed the mailing date of this c o (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status	·									
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <i>04 Feb</i>	ruary 2005.							
2a)□	•		ction is non-final.							
3)	-									
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Dispositi	on of Claims									
4)🖂	Claim(s) <u>6-14</u> is/are pending in the application.									
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>6-14</u> is/are rejected.									
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.									
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or e	election requireme	ent.						
Applicati	on Papers									
9)[The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.								
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on 04 February	2005 is/are:	a) accepted o	r b) 🗌 objected	to by the Exami	ner.				
	Applicant may not request that any obje	ction to the dra	awing(s) be held in	abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	the correction	n is required if the o	drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 Cl	FR 1.121(d).				
11)[The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Exar	miner. Note the a	ttached Office	Action or form P7	ГО-152.				
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119									
· ·	Acknowledgment is made of a claim ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	for foreign p	riority under 35 U	.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).	·				
	 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 									
	3. Copies of the certified copies				d in this National	Stage				
	application from the Internation	•	•							
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action	n for a list of	the certified copi	es not receive	d.					
		-								
Attachmen	t(s)		•							
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)			erview Summary						
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or			per No(s)/Mail Da	te atent Application (PT0	O-152)				
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or r No(s)/Mail Date <u>4 Feb. '05</u> .	F10/38/08)		her:	atom opposition (F)	 ,				

DETAILED ACTION

1. The following is an initial Office Action upon examination of the aboveidentified application on the merits. Claims 6-14 are pending in this application.

Priority

2. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 365(b), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Information Disclosure Statement

3. The examiner has considered the information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 4 February 2005.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

4. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 6-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. The language of the claim raises a question as to whether the claim is directed merely to an abstract idea that is not

Art Unit: 2121

application producing a concrete, useful, and tangible result to form the basis of statutory subject matter under 35 USC 101. The "method of detecting a malfunction" is non-statutory as not being tangibly embodied such as incapable of being touched or perceived. A person could perform these steps mentally or by using pencil and paper.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 6. Claims 6 and 9-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by USPN 5,254,921 to Matsubara.

As per claim 6, the Matsubara reference discloses a method of detecting a malfunction during a displacement of an element by a drive system, said method comprising the steps of: determining a difference (see column 2 lines 30-34,

Art Unit: 2121

"position deviation") between a predetermined value (see column 2 lines 35-39, "command movement amount") and an actual value (see column 2 lines 30-34, "feedback pulse") at regular intervals (see column 2 line 38, "periodically") during the displacement of the element ("servomotor 4"); determining a derivative (see column 2 lines 42-48, "speed deviation") of the difference ("position deviation") at regular intervals ("periodically"); wherein the difference ("position deviation") and the derivative ("speed deviation") both fluctuate around an equilibrium value ("predetermined period"); sampling the values (see column 4 lines 3-6, "repeatedly executes") of the difference ("estimated position deviation") and the derivative ("estimated speed command") on one side of the equilibrium value ("predetermined period"); multiplying the sampled values (see column 4 lines 17-24, "estimated movement amount") of the difference ("estimated position deviation") and the derivative ("estimated speed command"); comparing the multiplied values (see column 4 lines 52, "estimated position deviation") to a reference value ("predetermined value"); and detecting the malfunction (see column 5 lines 5-13, "abnormal condition") if the multiplied values ("absolute value") are greater than ("larger than") the reference value ("predetermined value").

Art Unit: 2121

As per claim 9, the Matsubara reference discloses the predetermined value represents a desired position (see column 2 lines 35-39, "command movement amount") of the displaceable element, and wherein the actual value ("feedback pulse") represents an actual position (see column 2 lines 54-55, "position feedback") of the element.

As per claim 10, the Matsubara reference discloses a component placement device configured to detect a malfunction during a displacement of an element, the component placement device comprising: a processor (see column 2 lines 20-21, "microcomputer") configured to: cause a displacement ("movement") of the element (see column 4 lines 60-62, "robot arm") along a path ("axis") that defines a series predetermined positions ("position"); determine an actual position (see column 2 lines 30-34, "feedback pulse") of the element corresponding to each of the predetermined positions ("position") during the displacement of the element, determine a difference (see column 2 lines 30-34, "position deviation") between each actual position (see column 2 lines 30-34, "feedback pulse") of the element and the corresponding predetermined position (see column 2 lines 35-39, "command movement amount") of the element, determine a derivative (see column 2 lines 42-48, "speed deviation") of the difference ("position deviation"), wherein the

Art Unit: 2121

difference ("position deviation") and the derivative ("speed deviation") both fluctuate around an equilibrium value ("predetermined period"), sample the values (see column 4 lines 3-6, "repeatedly executes") of the difference ("estimated position deviation") and the derivative ("estimated speed command") on one side of the equilibrium value ("predetermined period"); multiply the sampled values (see column 4 lines 17-24, "estimated movement amount") of the difference ("estimated position deviation") and the derivative ("estimated speed command"); compare the multiplied values (see column 4 lines 52, "estimated position deviation") to a reference value ("predetermined value"); and detect the malfunction (see column 5 lines 5-13, "abnormal condition") if the multiplied values ("absolute value") are greater than ("larger than") the reference value ("predetermined value").

As per claim 11, the Matsubara reference discloses the processor ("microcomputer") comprises a control circuit (see column 2 lines 22-23, "digital servo circuit section 1"), and wherein the control circuit ("digital servo circuit section 1") comprises: a drive system (see column 2 lines 27-30, "servomotor 4") configured to displace ("move") the element along the path that defines the series predetermined positions ("command movement amount").

Art Unit: 2121

As per claim 12, the Matsubara reference discloses the control circuit ("digital servo circuit section 1") further comprises: a controller ("digital servo circuit section 1") configured to control the drive system ("servomotor 4").

As per claim 13, the Matsubara reference discloses the control circuit ("digital servo circuit section 1") further comprises: an input (see column 2 lines 30-39, "arithmetic operation section 11") configured to determine the actual position ("feedback pulse") of the element corresponding to each of the predetermined positions ("command movement amount") during the displacement of the element.

As per claim 14, the Matsubara reference discloses the control circuit ("digital servo circuit section 1") further comprises: a difference determinator ("arithmetic operation section 11") configured to determine the difference (see column 2 line 32, "position deviation") between each actual position ("feedback pulse") of the element and the corresponding predetermined position ("command movement amount") of the element.

Page 8

Application/Control Number: 10/523,530

Art Unit: 2121

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The following references are cited to further show the state of the art with respect to digital positioning to detect collisions/interference in general:

USPN 7,029,175 B2 to Karaus et al.

USPN 6,429,617 B1 to Sano et al.

USPN 6,185,480 B1 to Takahashi et al.

USPN 5,719,473 to Kato et al.

USPN 5,570,770 to Baaten et al.

USPN 5,493,192 to Nihei et al.

USPN 5,204,598 to Torii et al.

USPN 4,580,085 to Eto et al.

USPN 4,272,711 to Fukuyama et al.

US Pub. No. 2005/0104549 A1 to Nishimura et al.

US Pub. No. 2001/0051841 A1 to Kawai

Art Unit: 2121

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Crystal J. Barnes whose telephone number is 571.272.3679. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday alternate Mondays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony Knight can be reached on 571.272.3687. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Cryptal Barnes

1-May-06